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Background: REMS

• A REMS is a risk management plan using risk minimization strategies beyond FDA-approved FDA professional labeling to mitigate a specific risk or risks of a drug.

• The FDA Amendments Act (FDAAA) of 2007 authorizes FDA to require a REMS if the FDA determines that a REMS is “necessary to ensure the benefits of the drug outweigh the risks”
  – Pre-approval
  – Post-approval (if new safety information arises necessitating it)
Background: REMS

- A REMS may include one or more of the following:
  - Medication Guide or Patient Package Insert (PPI)
  - Communication Plan for Healthcare Providers (HCPs)
  - Elements to Assure Safe Use (ETASU)
  - Implementation System
- A REMS must include a Timetable for Submission of REMS Assessments for NDAs/BLAs
Background: ETASU REMS

• Elements to Assure Safe Use (ETASU) are required medical interventions or other actions healthcare professionals need to execute prior to prescribing or dispensing the drug to the patient

• Some actions may also be required in order for the patient to continue on treatment

ETASU requirements are the most extensive elements of a REMS program.
Background: ETASU REMS

ETASU can include:

– Certification and specialized training of prescribers
– Certification of pharmacies or other dispensers of the drug
– Dispensing/administration of drug in limited settings (e.g., hospitals)
– Dispensing/administration of drug only with evidence of safe use conditions
– Patient monitoring
– Enrollment of treated patients in registries
In 2011, FDA created the REMS Integration Initiative, designed to evaluate and improve implementation of REMS authorities.

The goals of the REMS Integration Initiative include:

- Developing guidance on how to apply the statutory criteria to determine when a REMS is required
- Improved standardization and assessment of REMS
- Improved integration of REMS into the existing and evolving healthcare system

http://www.fda.gov/ForIndustry/UserFees/PrescriptionDrugUserFee/UCM350852
Key Components of the REMS Integration Initiative

- Stakeholder outreach to better understand how existing REMS programs are working and where opportunity for improvement lie

- Standardization and integration of REMS into existing healthcare practices

- Implementation of REMS commitments included in the 5th reauthorization of the Prescription Drug User Fee Act (PDUFA V)
  - Guidance development
  - 4 priority projects to address specific areas of improvement
# Stakeholder Engagement under the REMS Integration Initiative

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Meeting</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>March 8, 2013</td>
<td>PDUFA Stakeholders Meeting (REMS Integration Initiative)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March – June 2013</td>
<td>15 Stakeholder Listening Sessions — Experience Implementing ETASU REMS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May 16, 2013</td>
<td>Drug Safety Board Meeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May 23, 2013</td>
<td>Trends Emerging in Risk Management (TERM) Meeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July 25-26, 2013</td>
<td>REMS Standardization and Evaluation Public Meeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sept. 25, 2013</td>
<td>Strengthening REMS Through Systematic Analysis, Standardized Design, and Evidence-Based Assessment (Brookings)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feb. 6/ May 6, 2015</td>
<td>NCPDP Workgroup Meeting and Annual Conference (SPL Priority Project)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feb. 9, 2015</td>
<td>HL7 SPL Tech Team (SPL Priority Project)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May 18, 2015</td>
<td>Incorporating continuing education into single-drug REMS: Exploring the challenges and opportunities (Brookings-CME Priority Project)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July 25, 2015</td>
<td>Expert Workshop (Brookings-Providing Patient Benefit Risk Information Priority Project)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oct. 5-6, 2015</td>
<td>Understanding and Evaluating REMS Impact on the Health Care Delivery System and Patient Access (Common REMS Platform Introduced)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>April 14, 2016</td>
<td>Expert Workshop (Duke-Providing Patient Benefit Risk Information Priority Project)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dec. 2015 – May 2016</td>
<td>REMS SPL Pilot with 9 companies to test &amp; refine the REMS data model/terminology</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Report: Standardizing and Evaluating REMS

- Provides an analysis of stakeholder feedback
- Identifies one priority project in the four areas outlined in PDUFA V
- Provides plans for project completion
## Priority Projects

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Topic Areas</th>
<th>Projects Selected</th>
<th>Deliverable</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Providing benefit/risk information to patients</td>
<td>Providing Patient Benefit/Risk Information by Improving Tools for Prescriber-to-Patient Counseling</td>
<td>A report of findings, counseling processes, and tools that could serve as a basis for designing new tools and validating them in demonstration projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prescriber Education</td>
<td>Prescriber Education—REMS and Continuing Education (CE) for Health Care Providers</td>
<td>A report on the feasibility of REMS-related CE that will include a description of potential models for REMS-related CE development and delivery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pharmacy Systems</td>
<td>Standardizing REMS Information for Inclusion into Pharmacy Systems Using Structured Product Labeling (SPL)</td>
<td>Make structured REMS information available to health care providers, patients, and FDA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Practice Settings</td>
<td>Providing a Central Source of REMS Information for Practice Settings</td>
<td>An enhanced FDA REMS Website</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Project #1: Benefit Risk Information

• Research and codify best practices in effectively counseling patients about medications’ benefits and risks

• Develop a framework of methods, techniques, practices and tools to help guide healthcare providers when counseling patients
  – How to effectively counsel (e.g., technique, modes of communication, timing, confirmation of understanding, etc.)
  – Not what a healthcare provider should say

Status:
• Framework has been developed
• Currently being refined based on stakeholder feedback at a July 2015 Brookings Expert Workshop and April 2016 Duke Expert Workshop
Project #2: Prescriber Education

• Assess the feasibility of incorporating continuing education (CE) into individual REMS programs that include a Communication Plan and/or HCP training

• Define objectives for REMS CE programs
  – articulate approaches to achieving them,
  – examine potential barriers to implementing these approaches, and
  – consider ways to address or overcome these barriers.

• Identify approaches to developing REMS CE that can be implemented, or identify reasons why these approaches are not feasible

Status:

• Stakeholder outreach continues to identify possible approaches to REMS-related CE development
Project #3: Pharmacy Systems

- Structured Product Labeling (SPL) is an HL7 standard used to capture structured information about drug products
- SPL will be used to capture information about REMS (i.e., REMS Documents) in a structured format
- The REMS materials themselves (e.g., training, forms) are not planned to be captured in SPL format

**Status:**
- Developed a data model and balloted data elements with HL7
- Completed a successful pilot with 9 companies to test and refine FDA’s approach to integrating REMS into SPL format
Project #4: Practice Settings

• Enhance the REMS website, providing a centralized, standardized, reliable, and user-friendly repository of information about REMS to:
  – Help stakeholders understand and comply with REMS requirements
  – Minimize confusion associated with complying with multiple REMS programs
  – Provide stakeholders up-to-date and comprehensive REMS information

Status:

• REMS@FDA launched on June 15, 2015
• Work continues to refine and update the website based on stakeholder feedback
The Future of the REMS Integration Initiative

• FDA remains committed to improvement of REMS program implementation, informed by stakeholder feedback, and reflecting the dynamic and evolving nature of drug development and healthcare practices.

• Guided by stakeholder feedback and recommendations through a variety of outreach activities, FDA identified the Common REMS Platform Initiative as the next step to further enhancing and improving REMS integration into the healthcare system.
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Why is standardization so important?

Standardizing allows us to...
- Continually improve the quality of REMS design
- Create predictability
- Create positive “network effects”

Standardization is the first step to process improvement and a “quality systems” approach to care.
What are health data standards?

- Standards are a common way of (electronically) communicating health information
- They allow healthcare providers to work together in a large, complex, and increasingly electronic healthcare system.
- They have a couple of distinctive features:
  - Not developed by FDA or government, but rather by Standards Development Organizations (SDOs) like NCPDP\(^1\) and HL7\(^2\)
  - Once they’re developed, they need to be **adopted** by stakeholders (i.e., healthcare providers and REMS programs)

---

\(^1\)National Council for Prescription Drug Programs
\(^2\)Health Level 7 International
Most REMS have not leveraged health data standards

First REMS was approved in 2008, when less than 10% of prescribers were e-prescribing (now 70% do so)
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FDA and others are already using health data standards in REMS

Major efforts include:
1. Creating standards for how the REMS is described
2. Creating standards for how dispensers verify that safe use conditions are in place
3. Creating standards for how prescribers document safe use conditions
Standards for how the REMS is described

REMS SPL
Proposal was identified by stakeholders (in particular, the National Council for Prescription Drug Programs) leading up to our July 2013 public meeting
• SPL is well-equipped to capture REMS information
• SPL unites REMS information with other relevant product information
• Using SPL lets us leverage existing data standards process and infrastructure

In September 2015, FDA adopted REMS SPL as a PDUFA VI “Priority Project”
**REMS SPL captures the “4 W’s” of REMS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data Element</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Examples</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Stakeholder (“Who”)</td>
<td>The party that must meet the REMS requirement</td>
<td>prescriber, dispenser, health care setting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protocol (“When”)</td>
<td>A particular “stage” in the treatment process around which REMS activities may occur</td>
<td>certification, prescribing, dispensing, administration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Requirement (“What”)</td>
<td>A clinical or administrative activity that must be performed as part of the REMS</td>
<td>counseling a patient, completing an enrollment form, lab testing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Material reference (“With What”)</td>
<td>Reference to approved REMS material with which the requirement is carried out</td>
<td>enrollment form, medication guide, educational pamphlet</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
REMS documents are transformed into REMS Summaries

**REMS Document Text**

To become certified, each prescriber must activate registration, by completing the Prescriber Enrollment Form, via the iPLEDGE website or the automated phone system.

The healthcare provider completes the Healthcare Provider Enrollment Form.

To become certified, each prescriber must complete the Prescriber Enrollment Form.
REMS Summaries are then transformed into standardized data elements.
Example of codified REMS within SPL

When:
- While prescribing (C0P03)

What:
- Counsel patient (C0R002)

Who:
- Prescriber (C0SH01)

Using What:
- documentReference
SPL information is shared across the healthcare system

SPL data is transmitted from the sponsor to patients, healthcare providers, and the public
SPL: Progress so Far

- September 2014: FDA established SPL and REMS as a “priority project” under PDUFA V
- February 2015: REMS-specific data elements were balloted with HL7
- October 2015: FDA announced registration for REMS SPL pilot at public meeting
- December 2015: Pilot kicked off with 9 sponsors
- May 2016: Pilot completed
Next steps

• Obtain feedback from stakeholders on...
  – Industry experience with pilot
  – Whether the information provided in REMS SPL adequately addresses end-user needs

• Educate and share lessons learned

• Prepare to receive regulatory submissions in SPL format
  – Finalize implementation guide based on feedback from pilot
  – Establish official controlled terminology for REMS programs
  – Ensure that REMS SPL will be available on DailyMed
Standards for Verifying Safe Use Conditions

• Pharmacist plays key role in REMS as the last checkpoint before patient receives prescription.

• In many REMS with ETASU, pharmacists are asked to go to a website or call center to verify that certain “safe use conditions” are in place prior to dispensing, for example:
  – Prescriber is enrolled and trained
  – Patient monitoring has been completed
  – Patient has been counseled on the drug’s risks

• Pharmacists have been concerned that existing processes are cumbersome and time-consuming.
Improving the Process

• FDA reached out to pharmacy groups and asked them how to better integrate REMS into their workflow.

• Overwhelming response: use established data standards for verification of safe use conditions.
  – Use the NCPDP standard already used by most pharmacy systems
  – This standard already includes many data elements needed by REMS

• NCPDP developed an implementation guide in 2010 to help sponsors use the Telecommunications Standard, NCPDP’s standard for pharmacy claims, to support REMS
The New REMS Pharmacy Workflow

1. The pharmacist enters claim information into their computer as normal.

2. The pharmacy system sends this claim to a “switch” who, instead of sending the claim to the insurer, first sends relevant REMS information to a “REMS Administrator”.

3. If safe use conditions are in place, the claim moves on to the insurer. Otherwise, the pharmacist receives an error message.
REMS in Pharmacy Systems: Progress to Date

- In 2011, FDA approved the first REMS that utilizes this “switch system” to verify safe use conditions.
- Additional REMS are continuing to transition to the new system
- Stakeholders have provided a lot of positive feedback on the REMS that utilize this system (although not all have been able to adopt it.)
REMS and ePrescribing

NCPDP is now working to integrate REMS checks into ePrescribing and EHRs to help document safe use conditions.

- The system leverages NCPDP’s SCRIPT standard, used for ePrescribing and electronic prior authorization.
- The system allows REMS administrators to present prescribers with a “question set” similar to those used in prior authorization.

Prior Authorization Question for Transmucosal Immediate Release Fentanyl (TIRF):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4  Is the drug being prescribed for the management of breakthrough pain in a CANCER patient who is currently receiving around-the-clock opioid therapy for underlying CANCER pain?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TIRF REMS Patient-Prescriber Agreement Form:

1. I understand that TIRF medicines are indicated only for the management of breakthrough pain in patients with cancer, who are already receiving, and who are tolerant to, around the clock opioid therapy for their underlying persistent pain.
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Current Challenges

There has been a great deal of progress in the development and adoption of these standards, but challenges remain...

- Many REMS activities and healthcare settings are not addressed by the existing standards
- Most REMS have not yet adopted the standards that have been created.
What is the Common REMS Platform?

The platform is really just two things:

1. A **catalog**, maintained by FDA, of electronic data standards by which REMS can operate and communicate

2. A **process** (both internal and external) for creating or updating standards and having them added to this catalog
REMS Platform Process

I. Standards Development
- Identify standardization needs and develop use case
- Work with SDO to develop standard and pilot it

II. Standards Acceptance
- Review developed standards
- Add standards to Platform Standards Catalog
- Develop instructions for integrating standards into REMS

III. Standards Adoption
- Identify REMS to which the standards apply
- Encourage/Require REMS to adopt platform standards
I. Standards Development
Identify and Develop Use Case

**Use Case:** A description of the REMS activity to be standardized, how stakeholders and systems interact to perform the activity, and what the standard needs to accomplish.

Possible REMS use cases include:

- Healthcare Provider Enrollment and Certification
- Patient Enrollment and Agreement
- Documentation of Safe Use Conditions by the Prescriber
- Verification of Safe Use Conditions by the Dispenser
I. Standards Development
Identify and Develop Use Case

Sample Use Case Diagram

Prescriber Certification Process

1. Prescriber begins to prescribe a drug
2. Prescriber system checks SPL to determine whether drug has a REMS with prescriber certification
3. Prescriber system checks certification status
4. Prescriber completes knowledge assessment
5. Prescriber enrolls in REMS
6. Prescription proceeds to dispenser
7. Dispenser system checks certification status
8. Dispenser dispenses drug to patient

Legend
- Process Step
- Decision Point

Yes

No

Is prescriber certified?

Does REMS require a knowledge assessment?
I. Standards Development
Develop Standard and Pilot It

Use Cases

- Prescriber Certification
- Doc. of Safe Use Conditions
- Patient Monitoring
- Verification of Safe Use Conditions

Standards

- NCPDP
- SCRIPT
- NCPDP Telecom
- SPL
- CDA
- Structured Data Capture
I. Standards Development
Develop Standard and Pilot It

Implementation guides describe precisely how standards would be used to carry out REMS activities.

Excerpt from NCPDP’s Draft REMS ePrescribing Implementation Guide:

1.1.1.1 REMSResponse Transaction
The REMSResponse provides the mechanism for the REMS Administrator to relay approval or denial of the medication, patient, prescriber, and/or pharmacy for the designated REMS program, or if more information is needed.

Response is used to denote <Approved> or <Denied> by the REMS Administrator.

For information on <ReturnReceipt> functionality, see section “Verify Transaction” in the NCPDP XML Standard. For information on Status, Error and GetMessage transactions, see this same document.

Figure 43x. REMSRequest Flow
II. Standards Acceptance
Add standards to Platform Standards Catalog

The standards development process would culminate in a “version 1.0” catalog of “REMS Platform Standards”

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Use</th>
<th>Data Exchange Standards</th>
<th>Exchange Format</th>
<th>Standards Development Organization (SDO)</th>
<th>Supported Version</th>
<th>Implementation Guide Version</th>
<th>FDA Center(s)</th>
<th>Date Support Begins (MM/DD/YYYY)</th>
<th>Date Support Ends (MM/DD/YYYY)</th>
<th>Date Requirement Begins (MM/DD/YYYY)</th>
<th>Date Requirement Ends (MM/DD/YYYY)</th>
<th>Regulatory Reference and Information Sources</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Postmarketing Safety Reporting - Adverse Events for Medical Devices</td>
<td>Individual Case Safety Report (ICSR)</td>
<td>XML</td>
<td>HL7</td>
<td>Release 1</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>CDRH</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Electronic Medical Device Reporting (MDR) - Device Regulation and Guidance</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Over time, FDA, in consultation with stakeholders, could make changes to this set of standards.
III. Standards Adoption

Potential REMS Platform Model
Benefits of a Common REMS Platform

• It reduces the amount of work stakeholders must do to integrate REMS into their processes.
• It has the potential to improve REMS processes
• It simplifies REMS development and reduces the amount of uncertainty in the development process
• Helps ensure that REMS with similar risks are similar
• It allows for the creation of centralized REMS tools and resources
Key questions moving forward

• How can a common REMS platform help foster innovation and improve care?

• How can we effectively work together to advance the REMS platform?

• What does a successful collaboration look like?
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• NCPDP Transactions
• Minimizing Burden of REMS through standardization
• Telecommunication REMS Standard
• ePrescribing REMS Transaction
NCPDP

Multi-stakeholder, Problem-solving Forum for Healthcare

- ANSI-accredited Standards Development Organization
- Standards for Electronic Exchange of Information
- Many of our standards are named in federal legislation, including HIPAA, MMA, HITECH and Meaningful Use (MU)
- Best Practices for Patient Safety
- Advisor to Policymakers
- Founder & Chair of Standards Charter Organization (SCO)
Minimizing the Burden of REMS through Standardization

• Currently implemented standardized solution for pharmacies using NCPDP Telecommunication Standard (e.g. TIRF REMS)
• Currently published solution for prescribers using the NCPDP SCRIPT Standard for electronic prescribing.
REMS

Telecommunication Standard
Pharmacy Claims billing
Prescriber & Dispenser Workflow

REMS Functional Workflow
Prescriber, Patient, Pharmacist

Maximize:
- Patient access
- Prescriber participation & benefits
- Support and endorsement of key partners
- Efficiency
- Satisfaction of FDA

Minimize:
- Cost
- Disruption of existing workflows
- Liability
- Risk of failure

Prescriber is notified of Opioid REMS and prescriber benefits in partnership w/ medical society & liability carrier

Prescriber is registered, authenticated and certified online via w/ CME credits

Prescriber questions, support and follow up survey provided online, via an 800# & print

Prescriber writes Rx for Opioid and gives patient Rx + Opioid REMS Contract to sign

Patient or caregiver signs Opioid REMS contract and takes Rx to Pharmacy

Prescription filled including patient’s medication guide as per Opioid REMS

Pharmacist /Dispenser contacts help desk if there are questions regarding prescriber certification

Pharmacist /Dispenser confirms prescriber REMS certification as part of Rx claims transaction. Denial if not confirmed

Prescriber & Dispenser Workflow

Maximize:
- Patient access
- Prescriber participation & benefits
- Support and endorsement of key partners
- Efficiency
- Satisfaction of FDA

Minimize:
- Cost
- Disruption of existing workflows
- Liability
- Risk of failure
## Key Challenges / Solutions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Key Challenges</th>
<th>Solution</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Develop a REMS program acceptable to the FDA, manufacturers and providers</td>
<td>Use existing technologies and relationships to fulfill key FDA requirements while minimizing costs and complexity for manufacturers and providers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Successfully engage and motivate pharmacies &amp; pharmacists/dispensers to maximize participation</td>
<td>Maximize pharmacy benefits including CME</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Minimize workflow disruptions by leveraging existing standards</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Supports reimbursement for professional activities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deliver a Registration Interface solution that ensures compliance</td>
<td>Integrated with billing process (i.e. Hard Stop)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Point of dispense</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Key Challenges / Solutions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Key Challenges</th>
<th>Solution</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Successfully engage and motivate prescribers to maximize participation and ensure patient access</td>
<td>▪ Coordinated prescriber outreach in partnership with:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>– Manufacturers,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>– Medical societies, and</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>– Liability carriers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>▪ Maximize prescriber benefits including:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>– CME and</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>– Liability credits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>▪ Optimize paper and electronic services for current national EHR incentives and adoption (HITECH Act)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Key Challenges / Solutions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Key Challenges</th>
<th>Solution</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Successfully engage and motivate prescribers to maximize participation and ensure patient access | - Coordinated prescriber outreach in partnership with:  
  - Manufacturers,  
  - Medical societies, and  
  - Liability carriers  
- Maximize prescriber benefits including:  
  - CME and  
  - Liability credits  
- Optimize paper and electronic services for current national EHR incentives and adoption (HITECH Act) |
Transaction – Piggy-Back on Claim

1. Prescription claim
2. Check Registries
3. Deliver to Processor
4. Communicate Response
5. Deliver Response
6. Print Content
REMS Transaction is used Today

- TIRF
- Clozapine
- Qsymia
- Addyl
- iPLEDGE (Walgreens initiated)
## NCPDP & REMS Fields

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data:</th>
<th>Field:</th>
<th>Status:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pharmacy</td>
<td>Service Provider ID</td>
<td>Existing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Product</td>
<td>Product / Service ID</td>
<td>Existing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prescriber</td>
<td>Prescriber ID</td>
<td>Existing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pharmacist</td>
<td>Provider ID</td>
<td>Existing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient</td>
<td>Patient ID</td>
<td>Existing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient ZIP</td>
<td>Patient ZIP/Postal Zone</td>
<td>Existing</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Current Challenges for Prescribers

• REMS often require prescribers to meet certain safe use conditions:
  • Be enrolled and trained
  • Counsel and monitor patients
  • Prescribe the medication only under certain conditions

• Currently, many REMS do not provide prescribers with a simple, standardized way to tell whether safe use conditions are met. This results in:
  • Patients often discover they are unable to receive a drug when they appear at the pharmacy
  • Issues that could have been resolved in the prescribers office are left to the pharmacist.
Transaction Overview

• Triggered in EHR by Structure Product Labeling (SPL)
  • Sends REMS Initiation Request to REMS Administrator
  • REMS Administrator approves or initiates Q & A set (ePA model)
• Upon completion, NewRx sent to pharmacy
  • May contain REMS Authorization #
How Will Electronic Health Records (EHR) Know REMS Is Required?

• SPL will include REMS fields
• EHR configured to read new REMS fields
• Key items found are REMS Required flag and the REMS application holder
  • May need some type of routing information
ETASU REMS Example

- REMS Administrator requires basic prescription and process questions to be answered
- EHR sends REMSInitiationRequest with prescriber, product and patient details
- REMS Administrator provides relevant questions in REMSInitiationResponse
- EHR provides details in REMSRequest
- REMS Administrator approves the prescribing of the medication for this patient in REMSResponse
- EHR sends NewRx to pharmacy with new REMS fields
REMS Transaction

REMS transactions are exchanged as are other SCRIPT Standard transactions, in a real-time request and response mode.
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